

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NIDEC CORPORATION,

No. C-05-0686 SBA (EMC)

Plaintiff,

v.

**ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S  
MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME**

VICTOR COMPANY OF JAPAN, *et al.*,

**(Docket No. 461)**

Defendants.

\_\_\_\_\_ /

Nidec has moved to strike or, in the alternative, compel the testimony of Forest Titcomb and has asked that this motion be heard on shortened time. Nidec argues that shortened time is needed because JVC relied on the testimony of Mr. Titcomb in support of its motion for summary judgment and Nidec's opposition to the summary judgment motion is due on February 7, 2006. JVC opposes the request for shortened time, arguing, *inter alia*, that the request is "disingenuous when Nidec could have raised the issue much earlier." Opp'n at 2 n.1.

Nidec's request for shortened time is hereby **GRANTED**. That Nidec could or should have raised the issue well before the close of discovery is beside the point. The primary relief sought by Nidec -- *i.e.*, the striking of Mr. Titcomb's testimony -- would be moot if the motion were not heard on an expedited basis.

Accordingly, Nidec's motion to strike or, in the alternative, compel shall be heard on **January 31, 2007, at 10:30 a.m.** The opposition brief shall be filed no later than 12:00 p.m. on January 22, 2007. The reply brief shall be filed no later than 12:00 p.m. on January 26, 2007.


**Courtesy copies of the opposition and reply briefs and all supporting documents -- including**

1 any sealed briefs or documents -- shall be hand delivered to chambers on the dates and times  
2 specified above.

3 This order disposes of Docket No. 461.

4  
5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6  
7 Dated: January 17, 2007

8   
9 EDWARD M. CHEN  
10 United States Magistrate Judge  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17

18 United States District Court  
19 Southern District of California  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28